

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	8:14CR390
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
ANGEL ACOSTA-PATINO,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Angel Acosta-Patino (Acosta-Patino) (Filing No. 17). Acosta-Patino seeks a sixty-day continuance of the trial of this matter scheduled for January 26, 2015. Acosta-Patino's counsel represents Acosta-Patino will file an affidavit whereby Acosta-Patino consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Acosta-Patino's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Acosta-Patino's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 17) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **March 2, 2015**, before Senior Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **January 20, 2015, and March 2, 2015**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 20th day of January, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge